7/21/76

Dear Robert,

Thanks for the nice fat package that came yesterday. I've not had time to read it. I use this kind of reading for rest periods. When I take them. I'm feeling fine, thanks.

I hope your mother has been watching the Cinn. papers. Ray and what he does and does not do are not easy to figure. He has not fired Lesar. The appeals court refused to let him and has ordered Lesar to file a petition certiorari.

It appears that external pressures were applied, including from family and lawyer(s) unknown to get him to do this in favor of a planned civil suit. Crazy. Worse.

I expected no better from the Schweiker from the week after I met with Schweiker. I did expect more when I met with him. But as I lay in the hspital the following week and heard him spouting off the same stuff all over Penna. I knew the worst. I have had a letter-feud with him off and on since. And Hart. I agree there is little prospect the oversight committee will do anything on the basis of his report which claims no more than irrelevancy for itself.

If you were there to heard Jim Lesar read my speech at NYU a year ago April, do you now see how easy it was to foresee what did come to pass? Abd where responsibility lies?

I stay too busy to write but I have a new King book 2/3 written, the rest depending on the outcome of a suit that is taking enormpus amounts of time. However, we are doing remarkably well in it and two others, prevailing in all. Imagine that!

We had a sweeping, I think precedent remand in the spectro/NAA case, a unanomous vote of the court that had voted against us last time. And on the same day it also clobbered Nader on FOIA, which magnifies and I think was intended to magnify the nature of our significant victory.

Thanks and best to you both-all.

Sincerely,